Multiple Miocene Melastomataceae dispersal between Madagascar, Africa and India
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Melastomataceae sensu stricto (excluding Memecylaceae) comprise some 3000 species in the neotropics, 1000 in Asia, 240 in Africa, and 230 in Madagascar. Previous family-wide morphological and DNA analyses have shown that the Madagascan species belong to at least three unrelated lineages, which were hypothesized to have arrived by trans-oceanic dispersal. An alternative hypothesis posits that the ancestors of Madagascan, as well as Indian, Melastomataceae arrived from Africa in the Late Cretaceous. This study tests these hypotheses in a Bayesian framework, using three combined sequence datasets analysed under a relaxed clock and simultaneously calibrated with fossils, some not previously used. The new fossil calibration comes from a re-dated possibly Middle or Upper Eocene Brazilian fossil of Melastomeae. Tectonic events were also tentatively used as constraints because of concerns that some of the family’s fossils are difficult to assign to nodes in the phylogeny. Regardless of how the data were calibrated, the estimated divergence times of Madagascan and Indian lineages were too young for Cretaceous explanations to hold. This was true even of the oldest ages within the 95% credibility interval around each estimate. Madagascar’s Melastomeae appear to have arrived from Africa during the Miocene. *Medinilla*, with some 70 species in Madagascar and two in Africa, too, arrived during the Miocene. *Gravesia*, with 100 species in Madagascar and four in east and west Africa, also appears to date to the Miocene, but its monophyly has not been tested. The study afforded an opportunity to compare divergence time estimates obtained earlier with strict clocks and single calibrations, with estimates based on relaxed clocks and different multiple calibrations and taxon sampling.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of the present analysis is to test the recent out-of-India hypothesis for the arrival of three tribes of Melastomataceae, namely Dissochaeteae, Melastomeae and Sonerileae in Southeast Asia (Morley & Dick 2003). This hypothesis goes back to the view that Melastomataceae (here used in the narrow sense, excluding Memecylaceae) date to the Upper Cretaceous, with the fragmentation of Gondwana playing a major role in the establishment of tribes within the family (Raven & Axelrod 1974; Gentry 1982 (see table 5 therein), 1993; Renner 1993; Jacques-Félix 1994). Although these earlier studies did not elaborate on a possible role of India as a northward conveyer of Melastomataceae, they certainly implied that major lineages had diverged from each other in Gondwanan times and were separated further by plate fragmentation. Molecular phylogenies allow testing of these hypotheses in two ways: first, by providing information on the geographical direction of sequential events; and second, by providing information on relative and absolute timing based on molecular clocks. Based on molecular sequence data, northward transport via India has been inferred, for example, for the plant families Crypteroniaceae (Conti et al. 2002; Rutschmann et al. 2004) and Dipterocarpaceae (Ashton & Gunatilleke 1987; Ducouso et al. 2004) and the animal lineages acrodont lizards (Rastegar-Pouyani & Papenfuss 2000), ranid frogs (Biju & Bossuyt 2003), caecilian amphibians (Wilkinson et al. 2002) and ratite birds (Cooper et al. 2001). For Melastomataceae, however, molecular phylogenies have revealed that divergence events thought to date back to well-understood Gondwanan events, for example the break-up of South America and Africa, occur at very different distances from the phylogenetic trees’ roots, some being placed near the root, others close to the tips (Renner & Meyer 2001; Renner et al. 2001). Accordingly, hypotheses of trans-oceanic long-distance dispersal were put forward to explain the shallowest geographical disjunctions. Explaining them other than by different absolute ages would have required assuming tremendous rate heterogeneity in the data. The possibility of strong rate heterogeneity, of course, is at the heart of widespread distrust of molecular clock-based estimates.

Over the past 5 years, approaches that attempt to deal with rate heterogeneity between lineages have proliferated (reviewed in Magallón 2004), affording an opportunity to...
compare earlier divergence time estimates derived from strict, i.e. globally enforced, molecular clocks (Renner & Meyer 2001; Renner et al. 2001) with estimates obtained from relaxed clocks (Renner 2004a), as well as to explore the effects of particular taxa and multiple simultaneous calibration points. Progress in fossil dating, including Melastomataceae fossils, also adds new aspects to be considered when testing the out-of-India hypothesis for Melastomataceae. The data analysed here come from three chloroplast loci and are calibrated with multiple tectonic and fossil constraints. The geographic focus is on Africa, Madagascar and India, not only because of the strong hypothesis that three lineages of Melastomataceae reached Asia on an Indian raft (Morley & Dick 2003), but also because Madagascar provides a particularly well-delimitated and tratable study system, because it has only six native genera of Melastomataceae (table 1). (Two additional genera are each represented by an invasive species.) India, too, harbours few native genera, namely Kendrickia, Medinilla, Melastoma, Ochthocharis, Osbeckia, Oxyspora, Pseudodissochaeta, Sarcopterymis and Sonerila, of which all but Ochthocharis and Sarcopterymis have had at least one species sequenced (Clausing & Renner 2001a; Renner et al. 2001); sequences of Pseudodissochaeta are incomplete, and none of the sequenced accessions came from India. Sri Lanka has four genera, all also found in India.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS
(a) Sampling of Madagascan Melastomataceae and their outgroups
Some 230 species of Melastomataceae are known from Madagascar, and they belong to six genera in the morphologically defined tribes Dissochaeteae, Melastomeae and Sonerileae (Clausing & Renner 2001b; Almeda 2003). Molecular data support the monophyly of Melastomeae, but Dissochaeteae and Sonerileae are too poorly sampled for proper assessment of their circumscription. Global relationships of the six native Madagascan genera have been assessed in family-wide analyses that included 59 genera of Melastomataceae and Memecylyaceae, and the outgroup families Alzateaceae, Crypteroniaceae, Oliniaceae, Penaeaceae, Rhynchocalycaceae, Myrtaceae, Onagraceae and Lythraceae (Renner et al. 2001). Datasets of aligned nucleotide sequences are available from TREBASE, and species names with authors and voucher numbers are listed in Renner et al. (2001) and Renner (2004b), except for Calvola grandifolia Cogn. (leg. E. Figueiredo 202, voucher in herbarium LISC, GenBank accession numbers AY660632 and AY667151) and Tristemma littorale Benth. (leg. E. Figueiredo 212, voucher at LISC, GenBank accession numbers AY660631 and AY667150), both newly sequenced for this study. Both are endemic to Sáo Tomé and Príncipe, oceanic islands in the Gulf of Guinea, off the coast of Cameroon. As in Clausing & Renner (2001a), missing sequences were either coded as question marks (part of ndhf of Bellucia pentamera, ndhf for Calvola grandifolia and Tristemma littorale; rbcL for Acisiot purpurascens, Nepesia aquatica) or complemented with those of close relatives, namely Astronia macrophylla and A. smithi, Gravestia guttata and G. viscosa, Melastoma malabathricum and M. sanguineum, Memecylon bakerianum and Mem. edule, and Tibouchina longifolia and T. urvilleana.

Table 1 shows the species richness of the genera occurring on Madagascar. Except for Amphorocalyx and the invasive species of Antherotoma, all genera have been sampled for ndhf and most also for rbcL and/or rpl16. Amphorocalyx is morphologically close to Dichaetanthera, with at least one species recently transferred to that genus.

(b) DNA data generation, phylogeny estimation and evolutionary rate analyses
Details of DNA extraction, sequencing, alignment and tree-searching strategies for the data used in this study are provided in Renner (2004b). The particular tree topology that served as the input data for the Bayesian divergence time analysis was the first of six equally parsimonious trees found in a branch-and-bound search using PAUP* v. 4.0b.10 (Swofford 2002) from the concatenated ndhf, rbcL and rpl16 sequences of 57 Melastomataceae (including the newly sequenced species of Tristemma and Calvola) and outgroups, rooted with the Onagraceae Ludwigia suffruticosa. DNA insertions or deletions that involved most positions in a character row were excluded from the analysis, leaving 3100 bp. Statistical support was assessed by non-parametric bootstrapping in PAUP*.

Likelihood ratio tests revealed that substitution rates in the rbcL + ndhf + rpl16 dataset could not justifiably be modelled as clock-like. Variation in the rate of substitutions along the tree was
therefore modelled explicitly. This is feasible by using an MCMC approach and employing an autocorrelation parameter that minimizes the amount of permitted rate change along branches, thereby constraining the almost infinite number of possible rate changes.

Figure 1. Chronogram for Melastomataceae with branch lengths obtained from Bayesian time estimation, employing six maximal (Ma) and minimal (Mi) constraints and a rooted parsimony topology obtained from combined \( rbcL + ndhF + rpl16 \) cpDNA sequences. The Onagraceae \textit{Ludwigia} served to root the tree, but is not shown here. The absolute age estimates for nodes A–D with 95% credibility intervals are listed in table 2, row 5. The numbered nodes refer to the following Mi and Ma constraints: (1) root node: Ma = 130 Myr ago; (2) Myrtaceae crown group: Mi = 88 Myr ago; (3) split between neotropical Alzateaceae and its South African relatives: Mi = 90 Myr ago; (4) split between palaeotropical and neotropical Memecylaceae: Mi = 90 Myr ago; (5) stem lineage of Merianieae/Miconieae: Mi = 55 Myr ago; (6) crown group Melastomeae: Mi = 45 Myr ago. Names in black, neotropics; names in green, Africa; names in red, Madagascar; names in pink, Asia.
changes along branches. The software package used was that of Thorne & Kishino (2002) (freely available at http://statgen.ncsu.edu/thorne/), the key programs being ESTBRANCHES and MULTIDIVTIME. As recommended in Thorne’s manual, PAUL’s BASEL program, v. 3.14 (Yang 1997) and the F84 + G model (with five rate categories) were used to estimate nucleotide substitutions in the concatenated data. The F84 + G model is the only model so far implemented in ESTBRANCHES, and Thorne’s package includes software that parses the output from BASEL for ESTBRANCHES, which then calculates a variance/covariance matrix for the branch length estimates, given the sequence data and specified rooted topology. The output branch lengths from ESTBRANCHES formed the priors for an MCMC search in MULTIDIVTIME that sought to find the most likely model of rate change, given the topology, estimated prior branch lengths, and a Brow- nian motion parameter, \( \nu \), that determines the permitted rate change between ancestral and descendant nodes (below). I used the following settings in the MULTIDIVTIME control file: Markov chains sampled 10,000 trees every 100th generation with a burn-in of 100,000 trees. This burn-in had been found sufficient in earlier Bayesian analyses of the same data, which showed that chains became stationary after this many cycles. I repeated the MCMC procedure several times from different starting points and with different numbers of cycles to determine whether the approximations obtained were similar to one another. The \( a \ priori \) expected number of time units between root and tips was set to 1 because the chosen unit of time was 100 Myr. The standard devi- ation of this prior was set to 1, following Thorne’s manual, and the \( a \ priori \) rate at the root was set to 0.001, based on his recommen- dation that it be calculated by dividing the median of the distances between the ingroup root and the tips by the time unit. To explore the effects of the \( a \ priori \) root rate, I reran one analysis with a root rate 20 times faster, namely 0.029. The prior for \( \nu \) was set to 1, fol- lowing the manual’s recommendation that the time units between root and tips to the power of \( \nu \) be \( ca. 1. \) The standard deviation on \( \nu \) was also set to 1.

(c) \textbf{Calibrations}

Calibration is the prerequisite for obtaining absolute ages from genetic distances. In Bayesian divergence time estimation, one uses either upper or lower bounds (set by fossils or tectonic events), and estimates then can move freely towards the unconstrained side, until encountering another calibration boundary or the present time. Morley & Dick (2003) were concerned that Laurasiatic Mio- cene seed fossils (used for calibration by Renner & Meyer (2001) and Renner et al. (2001); see §4) may underestimate the true age of Melastomataceae. The present study circumvents this problem by no longer employing these fossils for calibration and instead relying on Eocene melastome leaf fossils, outgroup fossils, and the tectonic calibrations of Morley & Dick (2003). Node 1 in figure 1 was assigned a maximal age of 130 Myr ago based on an assumed onset of angiosperm divergence at 141–132 Myr ago as suggested by their earliest fossils (Brenner 1996). Node 2 in figure 1 was assigned a minimal age of 88 Myr ago based on earliest Myrtaceae pollen and following Morley & Dick (2003); this probably overestimates the age of node 2 (Strymsa et al. 2004). Node 3 was assigned a minimal age of 90 Myr ago following Morley & Dick (2003), and based on the estimates of Conti et al. (2002) and Rutschmann et al. (2004) who, however, used Melastomataceae fossils for calibration, which introduces an element of circularity. However, their convincing biogeographic scenario also employs other evidence, not related to Melas- tomataceae fossils. Node 4 was constrained to minimally 90 Myr ago based on the breakup of west Gondwana; this again follows Morley & Dick’s (2003) use of tectonic calibrations. Node 5, the stem of Merianieae/Miconieae, was constrained to minimally 55 Myr ago, based on one possible placement of Eocene melastome leaves (Morley & Dick 2003). Node 6, the Melastomaceae clade, which includes the genus Tibouchina, was set to minimally 45 Myr ago based on a possibly Middle or Upper Eocene Tibouchina-like leaf from Brazil. This well-preserved material (judging from black and white photographs) comes from a site near Fonseca originally thought to be Pliocene or Upper Miocene (Duarte 1956), but re-assigned to the Middle or Upper Eocene (49–34 Myr ago) based on the associated pollen flora (Lima & Salard-Cheboldaeff 1981).

3. RESULTS

(a) \textbf{Tree topology}

The tree resulting from the concatenated ndhF, rbcL and rpl16 data matches topologies obtained earlier, at least where the same taxa are included (Claussing & Renner 2001a,b; Renner & Meyer 2001; Renner et al. 2001; Renner 2004b). The newly sequenced Tristemma littorale, a coastal endemic from São Tomé re-collected by E. Figueiredo (personal communication) places as a sister to a collection of Tristemma mauritianum from Madagascar. The likewise newly sequenced Calvoa grandifolia, also from São Tomé, is a sister to an accession of C. orientalis from Tanzania.

Three topological findings directly address the out-of-India hypothesis: (i) Madagascan Graviesia is in a clade with African Sonerileae (Amphilebbma and Calvoa) and all three are nested among Asian Sonerileae/Dissocoeaeae; (ii) Madagascan Medinilla is a sister to Asian Medinilla (Dissocoeaeae); and (iii) African, Madagascan, Indian and Asian Melastoma are a clade nested among neotropical Melastomaceae, and Madagascan Dissochaeteaeae (Melastomaceae) is a sister to a clade of genera from Africa, India and Indochina (Dissoitis, Melastoma, and Osbeckia).

(b) \textbf{Time estimates}

Table 2 summarizes divergence time estimates, standard errors and 95% credibility intervals obtained in earlier strict-clock-one-gene analyses (Renner & Meyer 2001; Renner et al. 2001), a relaxed-clock-three-genes analysis (Renner 2004b), and the present study, all with somewhat different sets of calibrations and taxa. Studies also differ in their use of single calibrations versus simultaneous multiple calibrations. Estimates, however, largely agree, and whether or not Melastomataceae fossils were used for calibration made little difference (table 2).

4. DISCUSSION

Magnetic anomaly data indicate that India and Mada- gascar broke away from Africa 165 Myr ago and that the Madagascan section of the Deccan plate reached its present position, \( ca. 400 \) km from Africa, by 121 Myr ago (Rabinowitz et al. 1983; Briggs 2003). India then separated from Madagascar at \( ca. 88 \) Myr ago and drifted north- wards, colliding with Asia some 50 Myr ago (Beck et al. 1995; Storey et al. 1995; Mahoney et al. 2002; Clift et al. 2002). During its northward journey it may have come relatively close to the horn of East Africa, perhaps facilitat- ing trans-oceanic dispersal from East Africa to India (Morley & Dick 2003). The fit perceived by Morley & Dick

Table 2. Divergence time estimates (millions of years ago) obtained from a clock-enforced one-gene analysis that included 91 taxa and estimates obtained from non-parametric three-locus analyses that included 54 and 57 taxa. (Following the estimates are standard errors or 95% credibility intervals (in parentheses). The constraints and results shown in row 5 are the ones illustrated in figure 1, which also shows nodes A–D. An asterisk signifies that a clade was not dated owing to taxon sampling issues.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>calibration and type of clock</th>
<th>Melastomeae in Africa (node A)</th>
<th>Melastomeae in India/Asia (node B)</th>
<th>Asian Sonerileae in Africa/Madagascar (node C)</th>
<th>Asian Medinilla in Madagascar (node D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>strict clock, single data partition, single calibration points(^{a,b})</td>
<td>Melastome</td>
<td>Laursian Miocene seeds</td>
<td>Osbeckia:7 (3, 13)(^{d})</td>
<td>15 (8, 27)(^{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melastome</td>
<td>12 ± 3(^{a}); 10.7 ± 3(^{b})</td>
<td>Melastoma crown group: 1 ± 0.9(^{b})</td>
<td>10 ± 3(^{a})</td>
<td>Madagascan crown group: 1.6 ± 1(^{a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melastome</td>
<td>14 ± 4(^{a})</td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>11 ± 3(^{a})</td>
<td>2 ± 1(^{a})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relaxed clock, three combined data partitions, multiple simultaneous calibrations\(^{c,d}\) only outgroup fossils plus tectonic events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asian Sonerileae in Africa/Madagascar (node C)</th>
<th>Asian Medinilla in Madagascar (node D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 (9, 25)(^{c})</td>
<td>18 (9, 31)(^{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (9, 27)(^{c})</td>
<td>20 (11, 32)(^{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 (25, 44)(^{d})</td>
<td>28 (14, 44)(^{d})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osbeckia:16 (8, 28)(^{d})</td>
<td>24 (11, 39)(^{d})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Renner et al. (2001); \(^{b}\) Renner & Meyer (2001); \(^{c}\) Renner (2004b); \(^{d}\) This study.
not used in a particular study because of problematic assignment or taxon-sampling issues can provide indirect evidence for, or against, age estimates and biogeographic scenarios (e.g. Plana et al. 2004). Another way to improve confidence is a statistical approach that incorporates information on the temporal spread (density) of a taxon’s fossil record and, based on this, places confidence intervals around estimates of lineage duration (Foote 1997; Foote & Sepkoski 1999).

(a) Estimating times from concatenated datasets using multiple simultaneous constraints versus from single genes and single calibrations

Relaxing the clock assumption of a single substitution rate across lineages and using more data for branch length estimation may provide a more solid basis for divergence times than enforcing a global clock on a single locus (at least theoretically, information content can increase with nucleotide number). It is difficult to know though whether the heterogeneity introduced by combining different data partitions, such as genes and introns, offsets the advantages gained by basing estimates on more data, which results in narrower error variances on branch lengths and therefore on times. In this study, I tried to reduce estimation error by concatenating all data partitions. When choosing a molecular evolution model for heterogeneous data partitions, the single most important parameter appears to be the gamma parameter for among-site rate variation (Nylander et al. (2004) and references therein). This may justify use of the relatively simple F84 model but with approximation of the gamma shape parameter with five rate categories (the only model so far implemented in Thorne’s Bayesian divergence time estimation software). It was surprising how little the estimated divergence times differed between the earlier strict clock + single data partition + single-calibration analysis and the present relaxed clock + multiple data partitions + multiple calibrations analysis (table 2). Even changing the a priori rate from 0.001 to 20 times faster, namely 0.029, yielded almost the same age estimates as long as five or six upper or lower bounds had been placed on node ages (data not shown). Melastomataceae appear to have an accelerated rate of nucleotide substitution compared with other Myrtales (Sytsma et al. 2004). However, whether substitution rates are high or low is irrelevant for molecular clocks as long as data are internally calibrated and rates internally relatively unchanged.

One of the advantages of the Bayesian approach to divergence time estimation, compared with other relaxed clock approaches (e.g. Sanderson’s (2002) penalized likelihood approach) is that credibility intervals are part of the standard output and need not be calculated by bootstrapping. As expected, credibility ranges are influenced by the proximity of nodes in the tree to constraints. Nodes that are constrained or that are near constrained nodes tend to have narrower credibility intervals than other nodes (Nylander et al. 2004).

Overall, however, results obtained with the different clock approaches, including the strict clock with a single calibration, judging by the present comparison (cf. table 2), appear not to differ very much. On the one hand, this attests to the consistency of the methods, on the other hand, it warns against a naive belief that relaxed clock approaches truly overcome strong rate heterogeneity problems (if present) and associated misjudging of true ages.

(b) Implications: exchange of Melastomataceae lineages between Madagascar, Africa and across the Indian Ocean

The tree topologies and estimated divergence times suggest that: (i) Sonerileae dispersed from Asia to Africa, where they apparently reached Madagascar, by trans-oceanic dispersal during the Miocene; (ii) that Medinilla also arrived from Asia sometime during the Miocene; and (iii) that the only Asian Melastomeae, Osbeckia and Melastoma, arrived there from Africa at different times during the Miocene. Earlier sampling of 27 species in 15 genera of Asian and Madagascan Dissochaeteae/Sonerileae for ndhF showed even more clearly than the reduced taxon sample used here that the direction of dispersal was from Asia to Madagascar/Africa (Clausing & Renner 2001a; Renner et al. 2001).

The following sections place the Miocene dispersal of these lineages in the context of climate, sea surface currents, wind currents, and bird flight paths as well as diaspor morphology and dispersal ecology.

(i) Melastomeae

Most species of Melastoma (including Otanthera (Meyer 2001)) have been sequenced, and an earlier study found that the apparently very rapid radiation may have began ca. 1 Myr ago (Renner & Meyer 2001). Of the 22 species of Melastoma, three occur in India, one of them being the invasive M. malabaricum, which also occurs on numerous Pacific islands. The genus Melastoma differs from most other Melastomeae in possessing fleshy, bird-dispersed fruits. The only other fleshy-fruited Melastomeae are certain species of Dichaetanthera, with representatives in East Africa and on Madagascar. Birds that regularly cross the ca. 400 km wide Mozambique channel include the Madagascar squacco heron (virtually the entire population ‘winters’ in Tanzania), the Madagascar cuckoo, a winter migrant from Madagascar to East Africa, the Madagascar pratincole (populations winter along the Kenya/Somali coast, migrating along coastal Tanzania), the broad-billed roller, and the Mascarene martin, the last, however, only an irregular migrant between the mainland and Madagascar (Moreau 1966; J. Reichholf, personal communication; A. Scheuerlein, personal communication).

Besides Melastoma, Asia harbours only one other member of the Melastomeae, Osbeckia, which is most species-rich in northern India and Burma, and also has 10–13 species on Madagascar and five in Africa. Osbeckia has capsules with minute wind-dispersed seeds that conceivably may have been transported by monsoon wind systems or occasional storms between Africa, the Seychelles, the Comores, the Chagos archipelago (about half-way between Africa and Indonesia) and India. Alternatively, these seeds could become lodged in floating vegetation. Whatever the means of transport, the Indian species of Osbeckia appear to be only 8–7 Myr old (table 2), but denser taxon and gene sampling is needed because Indian, African, Madagascan and Indochinese members of Osbeckia, Dissotis, Dionycha, Heterotis and Rousseuxia form a poorly resolved plexus (as expected from their very similar morphology; Renner
& Meyer (2001) found *Rousseuxia* embedded among Madagascar *Osbeckia* and *Dionycha*.

The Gondwanan scenario (Morley & Dick 2003), which posits that Madagascan members of Melastomataceae, specifically *Dichaea* and *Dionycha*, diversified at ca. 72 Myr ago, followed by differentiation of *Osbeckia/Melastoma/Onanthera* on the Indian Plate (68–45 Ma) or in Southeast Asia after 45 Ma' (Morley & Dick 2003, p. 1642) and ‘differentiation on the Indian Plate prior to collision with Asia in the Middle Eocene’ (Morley & Dick 2003, p. 1642) implies that this group is ca. 50 Myr old. This is much older than the oldest molecular estimates for the age of *Osbeckia* that are within the 95% confidence intervals (table 2; *Melastoma* may be as young as 1 Myr old). This level of confidence needs to be compared with the confidence one can place in the fossil record of Melastomataceae from Africa, India, and tropical Asia. This record so far consists of *Astromia*-like leaves (tribe Astronieae) described from the Upper Miocene (12–5 Myr ago) of Sabah (Geyler 1887) and possible pollen records of Melastomaceae (Salard-Cheboldaeff 1978, 1981) from Cameroon. The oldest of these, *Upper Eocene* *Heterocolpites laevigatus* (37–34 Myr), was compared to *Terminalia* (Combretaceae; Salard-Cheboldaeff 1978, 1981; Muller 1981; Coetzee & Muller 1984). The second oldest, Upper Eocene to Oligocene *H. verrucatus*, was comparable to Lythraceae or Melastomataceae, and the youngest, Oligocene *H. pseudostriatus* (34–24 Myr) to *Dissoitis*, a genus of Melastomaceae. The indistinctly finely striate exine that distinguishes *H. pseudostriatus*, however, is unusual in extant Melastomaceae (Patel et al. 1984). If the Oligocene Cameronian pollen indeed represents Melastomaceae, the fossil date would fall inside the 95% confidence intervals around some of the molecular clock estimates for Melastomataceae in West Africa (table 2).

(ii) Sonerileae

*Gravesia* has capsules with minute seeds and ca. 100 species on Madagascar and four or five in East Africa and Gabon (Perrier de la Bathie 1951; Almeda 2003). In addition to these species of *Gravesia*, mainland Africa has 53 species of Sonerileae in six genera (Jacques-Félix 1994). The hypothesis that African Sonerileae are at least 68 Myr old (Morley & Dick 2003) is rejected by molecular topologies and age estimates: the estimated divergence time of the African genus *Calvoa* from the Madagascan genus *Gravesia* is ca. 7 Myr ago, with a 95% confidence range of 14 to 0.7 Myr. The divergence of the species *Calvoa orientalis* from Tanzania and *C. grandifolia* from São Tomé, which are separated by some 4000 km, appears to date to the Miocene–Pliocene boundary (figure 1), and the divergence between *Tristemma littorale*, also endemic on São Tomé, and *Tristemma mauritianum* from Madagascar also falls into that same general time period. *Tristemma* has 15 species (Jacques-Félix 1976, 1994) and *Calvoa* 18 (Jacques-Félix 1981), and both are centred in West Africa, with only a few species reaching East Africa or, in the case of *T. mauritianum*, Madagascar. The last is a polymorphic invasive species with fleshy fruits, atypical of Sonerileae. Its range shows several disjunctions between difficult to recognize subspecies and varieties, including the three subspecies recognized on São Tomé and the one on Madagascar. (Based on ndhF, *Tristemma* was earlier found to be a sister to the West African *Melastomastrum* (Renner & Meyer 2001).) The Pliocene divergence times obtained here between São Tomé species and their East African or Madagascan relatives fit with those for similar pairs in *Begonia* (Plana et al. 2004); however, much denser species sampling in *Calvoa* and *Tristemma* is necessary to assess sister species relationships.

Current species sampling is also too limited to decide whether the ancestors of the Madagascar-centred genus *Gravesia* reached that island directly from Asia or whether they came from Africa, where *Gravesia* today has four species. Only *G. guttata, G. rutenbergiana* and *G. viscosa* have been sequenced, but not for all markers employed here (Clausing & Renner 2001a), and only a single composite ‘*Gravesia*’ is used in the present study (cf. § 2). With only a single *Gravesia* included and the remaining genera represented by one or two species (figure 1), the geographical spread of Sonerileae from Madagascar to Africa, or the other way around, remains ambiguous. (The colour-coded tree in Renner et al. (2001, figure 2) showed only one of two equally parsimonious scenarios.) Sequencing of the four west and East African species of *Gravesia*, as well as more Madagascan species, is underway.

(iii) Dissochaeteae

*Medinilla* has fleshy, bird-dispersed berries and has some 85 species in tropical Asia, about six in India, 70 in Madagascar, and two in Africa. The last may be vicariant sister taxa: *Medinilla engleri* Gilg is an Eastern Arc endemic and *M. mannii* Hook. filius has a Guineo-Congolian distribution (R. Gerreau, personal communication). It would be surprising if the two were not derived from Madagascar or Asian ancestors because all *Medinilla* sequenced so far (eight species, three from Madagascar) are part of a large Madagascan–Asian clade of 14 genera (Clausing & Renner 2001a).

5. CONCLUSIONS

In having their oldest fossils come from Eocene Laurasia, Melastomataceae resemble many other families that now dominate at low latitudes: for example, Leguminosae, Lauraceae, and gingers (Herendeen et al. 1992; Manche ster & Kress 1993; Burnham & Graham 1999). Whether this reflects a true Eocene scarcity or absence of these lineages at low latitudes or is an artefact of uneven sampling by palaeobotanists is unknown. Efforts are underway to database a vast number of records so that statistical assessment of sampling biases will eventually become possible (H. J. Sims, personal communication). So far, however, our inability to treat the absence-of-evidence problem in a consistent manner has left the door open for speculation and even controversy about lineage ages and co-dependent species accumulation rates. Discerning a signal left by the fragmentation of Gondwana from today’s geographical ranges at low latitudes is especially problematic for Africa and Madagascar, which are known to have undergone severe floristic change during the Miocene. Also, molecular data continuously bring to light new examples of transoceanic long-distance dispersal, even in groups traditionally thought to be poor dispersers, suggesting that even more cases await discovery and throwing doubt on Gondwanan scenarios based only on morphology.
and ‘normal’ dispersal biology (Lavin et al. 2004; Renner 2004a). Striking examples of transoceanic long-distance dispersal between Africa, Madagascar and the Seychelles include multiple lineages of frogs (Vences et al. 2003, 2004), chameleons (Raxworthy et al. 2002), snakes (Nagy et al. 2003) and lemurs (Yoder & Yang 2004). Most of these dispersal events were once interpreted as a result of Gondwana vicariance.

For Melastomataceae, results from molecular clocks, whether strict or relaxed, whether based on a single gene or three combined loci, and whether calibrated with or without Laurasian Melastomataceae fossils, indicate that the family arrived on Madagascar multiple times during the Miocene by transmarine dispersal (Renner & Meyer 2001; Renner et al. 2001; Renner 2004b; this study). This remains true even when one accepts the oldest age estimates inside the 95% confidence range. Rafting of Melastomataeae, Sonerileae or Dissochaeteae on the Indian plate is not supported by molecular or fossil evidence. Given the many examples of dispersal of animals and plants to Madagascar across the Mozambique channel, facilitated by regularly migrating birds, and the former and present stepping-stone islands in the Mozambique channel and the Indian Ocean, the most parsimonious explanation for the close ties between the region’s Melastomataceae is long-distance dispersal. The out-of-India hypothesis, by contrast, is very difficult to reconcile with branching order in the phylogeny and requires assuming tremendous rate heterogeneity in the data: compare the depths of Crypteroniaceae and Asian Melastomataeae in figure 1: Crypteroniaceae have been inferred to have rafted northwards via India (Conti et al. 2002; Rutschmann et al. 2004). However, as discussed above, Melastomataeae do indeed appear to have higher substitution rates than their sister families, which was one of the reasons this and a related study (Renner 2004b) explored a relaxed clock approach that allows for rate heterogeneity among different lineages. In the future, additional melastome fossils may add further constraints or provide direct evidence relevant to the long-distance scenario developed here for Melastomataeae disjunct between Africa, Madagascar, India and Southeast Asia. For example, some genera have characteristic leaves (e.g. Blakea, Topocea) or inflorescences (some Bertoloniaceae and Sonerileae). Thus, well-preserved Miocene floras from Ecuador and Bolivia (R. J. Burnham, personal communication), the Latah formation near Spokane (J. Wolfe, personal communication), or Sabah (e.g. Geyer 1887) that include Melastomataeae, may eventually help stabilize the temporal picture of Melastomataeae evolution.
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**GLOSSARY**

MCMC: Markov chain Monte Carlo