## **Summary Tables on Voting Technology and Residual Vote Rates**

David C. Kimball University of Missouri-St. Louis

Table 1
Residual Vote Rates by Type of Voting Technology

## **Residual Vote Rate in:**

Technology	Description	2000	2002	2004
Punch Card – Votomatic	Punch card is inserted behind booklet with ballot choices – voter uses stylus to punch out holes in card. Ballots counted by card reader machine.	2.8%	3.5%	1.8%
Punch Card – Datavote	Ballot choices are printed on punch card – voter punches out hole next to chosen candidate. Ballots counted by card reader.	1.2%	2.8%	1.2%
Lever Machine	Candidates listed by levers on a machine – voter pulls down the lever next to chosen candidate. Machine records and counts votes.	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Paper Ballot	Candidates are listed on a sheet of paper – voter marks box next to chosen candidate. Ballots counted by hand.	1.9%	2.3%	1.7%
Older DRE (full-face)	Candidates listed on a full-face computerized screen – voter pushes button next to chosen candidate. Machine records and counts votes.	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Newer DRE (touch-screen)	Candidates listed on a scrolling computer screen – voter touches screen next to chosen candidate. Machine records and counts votes.		1.2%	1.0%
Optical Scan – Central Count	Voter darkens an oval or arrow next to chosen candidate on paper ballot. Ballots counted by computer scanner at a central location.	1.8%	2.0%	1.7%
Optical Scan – Precinct Count	Voter darkens an oval or arrow next to chosen candidate on paper ballot. Ballots scanned at the precinct, allowing voter to find and fix errors.	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
InkaVote	Hybrid of Votomatic punch card and optical scan central count systems (used in Los Angeles County, CA)			2.0%
Mixed	More than one voting method used.	1.1%	1.5%	1.0%
	Nationwide Residual Vote Rate	1.8%	2.0%	1.1%

Residual vote rates were calculated as the percentage of ballots cast that failed to record a valid vote for president (in 2000 and 2004) or governor (in 2002). 2897 counties were analyzed in 2000, 1847 counties analyzed in 2002, and 3034 counties analyzed in 2004.

Table 2
Residual Vote Rates by Touch-Screen DRE Brand
2004 Presidential Election

<b>Brand of Voting Machine</b>	Residual Vote Rate
Unilect Patriot (17 counties)	6.8%
VotWare (1 county)	4.1%
EV 2000 (8 counties)	2.3%
E-Slate (8 counties)	1.8%
Microvote Infinity (20 counties)	1.6%
Winvote (10 counties)	1.1%
AccuVote-TSX (1 county)	0.9%
AVC Edge (24 counties)	0.8%
ES&S iVotronic (55 counties)	0.7%
AccuVote-TS (191 counties)	0.7%
Sequoia DRE with VVPT (17 counties in Nevada)	0.3%
Nationwide Touch-Screen DRE Residual Vote Rate	1.0%

Based on 353 counties using touch-screen DRE voting machines in 2004.

Table 3
Residual Vote Rates by Full-Face DRE Brand
2004 Presidential Election

<b>Brand of Voting Machine</b>	Residual Vote Rate
Microvote 464 (97 counties)	1.9%
Shouptronics 1242 (155 counties)	1.2%
AVC Advantage (48 counties)	0.8%
Nationwide Full-Face DRE Residual Vote Rate	1.2%

Based on 300 counties using full-face DRE voting machines in 2004.

Table 4
Residual Vote Rates by Precinct-Count Optical Scan Brand
2004 Presidential Election

Brand of Voting Machine	Residual Vote Rate
Optech 3P Eagle (259 counties)	0.9%
ES&S M100 (106 counties)	0.6%
AccuVote-OS (265 counties)	0.6%
Nationwide Precinct-Count OS Residual Vote Rate	0.7%

Based on 630 counties using precinct-count optical scan balloting in 2004.

Table 5
Racial and Economic Disparity in Residual Votes by Voting Technology
2000 Presidential Election

**Residual votes in counties using:** 

Racial composition of county	Votomatic punch cards	Optical scan - central	Optical scan - precinct	Lever machines	Electronic machines
Less than 10% black	2.2%	1.3%	0.9%	1.1%	1.7%
Between 10% and 30% black	3.1%	2.3%	0.7%	1.7%	1.7%
Over 30% black	5.6%	5.2%	1.9%	2.3%	1.7%
Median Income					
Less than \$25,000	4.5%	4.4%	1.1%	3.4%	2.7%
Between \$25,000 and \$32,499	3.2%	2.1%	1.3%	2.0%	2.2%
Between \$32,500 and \$40,000	3.0%	1.7%	1.0%	1.7%	1.7%
Over \$40,000	2.2%	1.0%	0.8%	1.1%	1.3%

Based on 2897 counties in 2000.

Table 6
Racial and Economic Disparity in Residual Votes by Voting Technology 2004 Presidential Election

**Residual votes in counties using:** 

Racial composition of county	Votomatic punch cards	Optical scan - central	Optical scan - precinct	Full-Face DRE	Touch- Screen DRE
Less than 10% black	1.8%	1.5%	0.8%	1.3%	1.0%
Between 10% and 30% black	1.7%	1.7%	0.5%	1.2%	0.9%
Over 30% black	2.4%	4.1%	0.9%	1.3%	0.8%
Median Income					
Less than \$25,000	4.0%	3.3%	1.4%	2.8%	1.3%
Between \$25,000 and \$32,499	2.3%	1.7%	0.8%	1.4%	1.1%
Between \$32,500 and \$40,000	2.0%	1.6%	0.7%	1.3%	1.0%
Over \$40,000	1.5%	1.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%

Based on 2402 counties in 2004.

Table 7
Residual Votes in Optical Scan Ballots by Voting Mark
2004 Presidential Election

Where Ballots are Counted

Darken an oval an arrow

Precinct-Count (690 counties)

Central-Count (789 counties)

Nationwide Optical Scan Residual Vote Rate

Type of Mark

Connect an oval an arrow

1.6%

2.3%

Table 8
Racial and Economic Disparity in Residual Votes by Voting Technology
2004 Presidential Election

**Residual votes in counties using:** 

-	OS –	OS –	OS –	OS –
Racial composition of county	central (arrow)	central (oval)	precinct (arrow)	precinct (oval)
Less than 10% black	2.0%	1.4%	1.1%	0.6%
Between 10% and 30% black	2.4%	1.4%	0.6%	0.5%
Over 30% black	2.8%	4.9%	0.9%	0.8%
Median Income				
Less than \$25,000	6.4%	3.2%	1.6%	1.1%
Between \$25,000 and \$32,499	2.1%	1.7%	0.9%	0.8%
Between \$32,500 and \$40,000	2.1%	1.6%	0.8%	0.7%
Over \$40,000	2.5%	1.0%	1.0%	0.5%

Based on 1448 counties in 2004.