Considerations Regarding the Future of Andragogy

By John A. Henschke

Andragogy has a long and rich history that has shaped understanding of adult learning and continues to be a strong force in guiding the way adults learn. While adult educators in the U.S. are familiar with andragogy through the work of Dr. Malcolm Knowles, the theory of andragogy reaches a worldwide audience of practitioners striving to improve learning through its respectful and engaging method focused on the learner.

Background

The term ‘andragogy’ was first authored by Alexander Kapp (1833), a German high school teacher, but it lay fallow for many decades (Reischmann, 2004). In the 1920s, another German, Rosenstock-Huessy (1925) resurrected the term as he developed a method for teaching the German people, who were dispirited and degenerated in 1918 after World War I, to regenerate themselves and their country. Bringing ‘andragogy’ from the German Workers to America, Lindeman (1926) introduced the term andragogy twice, and in his text, The Meaning of Adult Education, explained it as a key method for teaching adults.

Malcolm Knowles acquired the term in 1966 from Dusan Savicevic (Soper, 2003). Knowles (1970, 1995) infused andragogy with much of his own meaning garnered from his already extensive experience in adult education. Knowles successfully tested and refined this theory and design on a broad spectrum in numerous settings: corporate, workplace, business,
industry, healthcare, government, higher education, professions, religious education, and
elementary, secondary, and remedial education.

Although Savicevic had been researching, writing and practicing in andragogy for
numerous years, the clearest articulation of andragogy from the European perspective is found in
(1991, 1999) where he provided a critical consideration of andragogical concepts in five Western
and five Eastern European Countries. Additionally, Savicevic advocated that his research
established andragogy as a scientific discipline, that studies education and learning of adults in
all its forms of expression. The critical element in European andragogy is that adults should
assist one another to become more refined and competent. European andragogy also suggests
that there should be differences in the aims of andragogy and pedagogy (assisting a child to
become an adult).

Critiques of Andragogy

Andragogy has faced multiple critiques from adult education scholars. A complete list is
not possible, given the space available in this futures column, yet a few will be mentioned. Jarvis
(1984) wrote that the theory of andragogy had moved into the status of an established doctrine in
adult education, but without being grounded in sufficient empirical research to justify its
dominant position. Hartree (1984) felt that Knowles' andragogy did not live up to what she
interpreted as his desire for andragogy becoming a comprehensive learning theory for adult
education. Welton (1995) asserted that "the 'andragogical consensus' (anchoring the study of
adult education in methods of teaching and understanding the individual adult learner),
formulated by the custodians of orthodoxy in the American Commission of Professors in the
1950s and solidified by Malcolm Knowles and others in the 1960s and 1970s, has unraveled at
the seams" (p. 5).
Grace (2001) considered that Knowles' andragogy ascended to prominence in the U.S. adult education after 1970 and had been effectively dismantled by 1990. Pratt's (1993) perception was that after 25 years, Knowles' approach was lacking in its fulfilling a promise of being somewhat of a panacea for a teaching approach in all adult education. Shore's (2001) perception that Knowles' andragogy became a catalyst for unproductive debates framed along a binary path, such as adult/child, isolation/relation. Sandlin (2005) has serious reservations about its (andragogy's) prominence and thought it needed to be replaced with three perspectives: Afrocentric, feminist, and critical.

Merriam (2001) acknowledged that andragogy is one of the pillars of adult education and it will continue to engender debate, discussion, and research; thus suggesting that in so doing, it will further enrich our understanding of adult learning. However, in what seems to be a change in direction, Merriam, et al., (2009) acknowledges that andragogy is here to stay, but suggests that the field needs to get on with other important matters of understanding adult learning and move beyond andragogy.

The common thread that runs through all of these critiques is that each one appears to start and stop the discussion on what Knowles did or didn’t do with andragogy. There appears to be a woeful lack of a comprehensive understanding of the worldwide concept of andragogy; thus, it seems to render each of the pleas rather hollow.

Establishment and Research

Multiple researchers and scholars in the US and abroad have worked to establish andragogy as a proven theory and strong method for teaching adults. Some have responded directly to the challenges and critiques posed, while others have researched andragogy to meet
their specific contextual needs. Books on andragogy have been translated into many languages and are used worldwide.

Hadley (1975) developed the Education Orientation Questionnaire (EOQ), an instrument describing the constructs of andragogy and pedagogy. These items illustrated how pedagogical or andragogical attitudes and beliefs about education, teaching practices, and learning were obtained.

Knowles (1984b) presented the first book in which he cites thirty-six extensive case examples of applying andragogy in practice. This revealed what worked and what did not.

Henschke (1989) developed an andragogical assessment instrument entitled, Instructional Perspectives Inventory (IPI) that included the central and major core on the teacher trust of learners. However, Stanton (2005) related the concepts with the concepts in readiness for self-directed learning, and there was not only congruence between the two, but also the IPI was validated as an almost perfect 'bell-shaped' measurement of an andragogical facilitator.

Poggeler (1994) listed ten trends which he hoped will be helpful for future development of European andragogical research some of which included: international knowledge, comparative understanding, political influences, "development-andragogy" of the Third World, and understanding the "lifeworlds" of the participants, and new types and alternatives of adult education.

Houle (1996), in talking about Knowles' work in andragogy, said that it remains the most learner-centered of all patterns of adult educational programming. He concluded by saying, "Even leaders who guide learning chiefly in terms of the mastery of subject matter...know that they should involve learners in as many aspects of their education as possible and in the creation of a climate in which they can most fruitfully learn" (p. 30).
Maehl (2000), in addressing the philosophical orientations of a number of adult educators, suggests that Knowles led in the direction of making andragogy quite humanistic that gained wide adoption in the field. The strength of Knowles approach was its position advocating an adult learning program that is respectful, trusting, supportive, and collaborative.

Rachal (2002) addressed the concern that andragogy lacked a solid empirical research base, by clearly identifying seven criteria suitable for implementation in future empirical studies of andragogy: voluntary participation, adult status, collaboratively-determined objectives, performance-based assessment of achievement, measuring satisfaction, appropriate adult learning environment, and technical issues.

Savicevic (2006a) found that from 1966 (his first US trip) through 2006, there was an identifiable trace of andragogy as a concept being included in each serious study on adult education and learning in USA universities.

Bellamio (2006), a human resource development (HRD) professional working in the Xerox Corporation, Italy, had Malcolm’s book *The Adult Learner* translated into the Italian Language. He saw Malcolm’s andragogy as helping Italian HRD professionals take responsibility for improving their sphere of influence within their corporations.

As if seeking to culminate and bring together all these valiant efforts, Savicevic (2006b, 2008) does a thorough historical tracing of the converging and diverging of ideas on andragogy in various countries. Savicevic also reflected about his perception of Knowles’ position in sustaining andragogy over the long range of its history into the future.

Forty years in development of a science is a significant period. I met professor Knowles four decades ago and argued about the term and concept of andragogy. Since then, the term and the concept of andragogy has grown and become rooted in the American
professional literature. There is no doubt that Knowles contributed to it, not only by his
texts, but with his spoken word and lectures. He was a 'masovik', i.e. a lecturer on a mass
events. He told me that he lectured on 10,000 visitor stadiums, as if he was inspired by an
ancient agonistic spirituality! His contribution to the dissemination of andragogical ideas
throughout the USA is huge. The history of andragogy will put him on a meritorious place in
the development of this scientific discipline.

Current Research in Andragogy

Henschke and Cooper (2007) published numerous iterations of their research,
beginning in 2000, into the world-wide foundation of andragogy. Out of what has now
become a list of 330 published documents on andragogy by other authors, they identified
six sections or themes depicting andragogy: Evolution of the Term Andragogy; Historical
Antecedents Shaping the Concept of Andragogy; Comparison of the American and
European Understandings of Andragogy; Popularizing and Sustaining the American and
World-Wide Concept of Andragogy; Practical Applications of Andragogy; and, Theory,
Research, and Definition of Andragogy. This fully documented perspective has been
absent from all previous author's published discussions. In addition, Henschke (2009)
reorganized and presents an additional perspective of this ongoing research into a
chronological history and philosophy of andragogy. Many of these documents may be
accessed on his websites identified in his authorship of this article.

Isenberg, (2007), provides a break-through framework and dynamic design for bringing
together the interaction of andragogy and Internet learning. To meet the goal of the UNESCO
International Commission on adult learning for the 21st century, she focused on the six pillars of
lifelong learning: Learning to know, learning to do, learning to live, learning to be, learning to
change, and learning for sustainability. Boucouvalas (2008) highlighted the emphasis that Knowles gave to group/community/society in his treatment of andragogy.

Vodde (2008) used Rachal’s (2002) research framework and found that while a traditional, pedagogical, military model of training may have at one time served the needs and interests of police and society, its applicability and efficacy has been called into question. He concludes that an andragogical instructional methodology was more effective.

Bright and Mahdi (2010) actively interact and experience each other as faculty and student in an adult education academic program. They studied the significance of inclusive education (andragogical theory) in collaboration between American and Arab cultures in meaningful ways, with change, learning and teaching approaches that can influence political and social philosophies of leadership. They mentioned that education is a critical aspect in fostering and securing long-term peace and stability. They explored the theoretical principles of andragogy, how it is considered a paradigm, and how it could enhance the exchange of cultural knowledge and friendship. They contended that andragogical adult educational theory, processes, and research are elemental to a vision of a peaceful world and a stabilized Iraq.

**Future**

Andragogy has much to contribute to the future of adult education and learning. I invite adult education scholars to access through my websites many of the 330 documents on andragogy that have been published, in addition to my papers discussing the broad world-wide foundation of andragogy. I would like to have the discussion of andragogy go beyond Knowles version and include the world-wide perspective of others who have written and published on andragogy. I have a desire to see the broad perspective of andragogy have a place (not the only place) at the discussion table of adult education and have others join in a fertile exchange on
what it may contribute to adult education. Continued research will help to establish andragogy as a scientific academic discipline. Lindenwood University has recently established an Academic Andragogy Doctoral Program, and this means that as a faculty member there, I am committed to being engaged in moving this forward. I will continue to be involved in the andragogy discussions at AAACE and the Commission of Professors of Adult Education and other conferences. I invite you to join in this movement.
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